

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|---|-----------------|----------------------|---------------------------|------------------|--|
| 09/921,095 | 07/31/2001 | Mark Engebretson | 45784-75 | 6792 | |
| 7 | 7590 10/03/2002 | | | | |
| Squire, Sanders & Dempsey, L.L.P. 14th Floor 801 S. Figueroa Street | | | EXAMINER | | |
| | | | DABNEY, PHYLESHA LARVINIA | | |
| Los Angeles, C | CA 90017-5554 | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| | | | 2643 | 2643 | |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 10/03/2002 | | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

\$6

| | | HG | | | | |
|---|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| • | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | |
| Office Action Summary | 09/921,095 | ENGEBRETSON ET AL | | | | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | |
| The MAII ING DATE of this communication and | Phylesha L Dabney | 2643 | | | | |
| Period for Reply | The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply | | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status | 86(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE | nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>31 J</u> | ' <u>uly 2001</u> . | | | | | |
| 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ Thi | s action is non-final. | | | | | |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | | |
| 4) Claim(s) 1 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | | |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | | |
| 6)☐ Claim(s) <u>1</u> is/are rejected. | | | | | | |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | | | | | |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or | r election requirement. | | | | | |
| Application Papers | · | | | | | |
| 9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine | r. | | | | | |
| 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | | | |
| 11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner. | | | | | | |
| If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. | | | | | | |
| 12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 | | | | | | |
| 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). | | | | | | |
| a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: | | LINE WINTE | | | | |
| 1. Certified copies of the priority documents | s have been received. | CUREDVISORY PATENT EXAMINER | | | | |
| Certified copies of the priority documents | | | | | | |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | | |
| 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). | | | | | | |
| a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language pro 15)☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti | • • | | | | | |
| Attachment(s) | | | | | | |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 4 | 5) Notice of Informal | y (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152) | | | | |
| S. Patent and Trademark Office | | | | | | |

Application/Control Number: 09/921,095

Art Unit: 2643

DETAILED ACTION

This action is in response to the application filed on 31 July 2001 in which claim 1 is pending.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Martin (U.S. Patent No. 5,758,852).

Regarding claim 1, Martin teaches a rigging system (fig. 1-2, 19-26) adapted to be coupled to a plurality of line array speakers (fig. 19-26) comprising a plurality of frames (1) having openings (at the ends of 2, 3; col. 6, lines 9-12) for the insertion of pivoting, adjustable front and back, left and right hinges (26-33). Martin does not teach the rigging system attached at the left and right sides of the line array speaker; however, applying the rigging at the sides of the array speakers as opposed to the top of the array speakers would involve merely changing the orientation of the rigging which is generally recognized as being within the level of ordinary skill. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention made to attach the rigging system along the sides of the array speakers of Martin to change the angular displacement from a horizontal to vertical.

Art Unit: 2643

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Phylesha L Dabney whose telephone number is 703-306-5415.

The examiner can normally be reached on Mondays, Tuesdays, Wednesdays, Fridays 8:30-5 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Curtis Kuntz can be reached on 703-305-4708. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9314 for regular communications and 703-872-9314 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-305-4700.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks Washington, D.C. 20231

Or faxed to:

(703) 872-9314, for formal communications intended for entry and for informal or draft communications, please label "Proposed" or "Draft" when submitting an informal amendment.

(703) 306-0377, for customer service questions.

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington, VA., Sixth Floor (Receptionist).

September 27, 2002

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600